	Possible $(B_{10}H_8)$	$<_{\rm H}^{\rm H} > ({\rm B}_{10}{\rm H}_8)^{2-1}$	Isomers
No. ^a	Bridge locations ^b	Pairs linked ^c	Point group ^d
1	12', 2-1'	A–A	$2/m = C_{2h}$
2	1-1', 2-2'	A-A	$mm2 = C_{2v}$
3	2-3', 3-2'	E-E	$mm2 = C_{2v}$
4	2-2', 3-3'	E-E	$2/m = C_{2h}$
5	2-2', 6-9'	E'E'	$2/m = C_{2h}$
6a	2–2′, 6–6′)	ער / ה ו	2999 — D
6b	2-2′, 9-9′∫	E -E	$222 = D_2$
7a	1–2′, 2–3′		1 - 0
7b	1−3′, 2−2′∫	A-E	$1 = C_1$
8a	1-2', 2-6'	A 17/	1 - C
8b	1–2′, 2–9′∫	A-E	$1 = C_1$
9a	22', 36'\	E E/	1 - C
9b	2–2′, 3–9′ <i>)</i>	E-E	$1 = C_1$

TABLE VI

^a The a-b pairs are enantiomers. ^b Reference 11. ^c A denotes an apex-equatorial pair, E denotes a pair in the same equatorial ring, and E' denotes a pair which has one member in each ring. ^d In Hermann-Mauguin and Schoenflies notations, respectively.

which may be so constructed, five of which are symmetric and the remaining eight of which form four en-

antiomorphic pairs. Other isomers involving bridging to nonadjacent atoms were not considered because of the drastic crowding of the pair of B-H groups which lie between any such nonadjacent pair. The thirteen isomers are listed in Table VI, together with the point groups to which they belong and an indication of which pairs of atoms in each polyhedron are involved in the hydrogen bridges. The isomer found in this investigation is the fifth listed in Table VI, while no. 1 was proposed¹⁰ for the structure of the parent $B_{20}H_{18}^{2-}$ ion before it was shown^{6,7} to be linked by a pair of B-B-B three-center bonds rather than hydrogen bridges. Hawthorne and Pilling mention³ "the formation of unidentified metastable intermediates" in the thermal reversion of the photoisomer studied here to the parent ion. Thus it may be that some or all of the isomers discussed here also exist.

Acknowledgment.—We thank Professor M. F. Hawthorne of the University of California, Riverside for helpful discussions and for providing the crystals which made this work possible.

Structure of Gadolinium Sesquisulfide

BY C. T. PREWITT AND A. W. SLEIGHT

Received November 15, 1967

The structure of Gd_2S_3 has been solved and refined by least-squares procedures to an R of 0.019 using 540 nonequivalent reflections collected with a counter diffractometer. Crystals were grown in a GdI_3 melt at 1200°. The crystals are orthorhombic with a = 7.339 Å, b = 15.273 Å, c = 3.932 Å, $D_m = 6.14$ g cm⁻³, $D_x = 6.187$ g cm⁻³ for Z = 4, and space group Pnam. Two kinds of nonequivalent gadolinium atoms are present in Gd_2S_3 . One is surrounded by a monocapped trigonal prism of sulfur atoms while the other gadolinium atom is in a bicapped trigonal prism of sulfur atoms. This structure is found for rare earth sesquisulfides from La through Dy and probably also for Pu₂S₃.

Introduction

Very little structural information has been available on rare earth sesquisulfides. (Rare earths will be considered to be La through Lu plus Y and Sc.) The structure of Sc_2S_3 , determined by Dismukes and White,¹ is related to the NaCl structure. Apparently, this structure exists for certain rare earth sesquiselenides and sesquitellurides^{2,3} but not for any other rare earth sesquisulfide.

According to Flahaut,⁴ there are five possible structures for the rare earth sesquisulfides other than that of Sc₂S₃. Two rare earth sulfides, Yb₂S₃ and Lu₂S₃, have the corundum structure.⁵ Five—Dy₂S₃, Y₂S₃, Er₂S₃, Ho₂S₃, and Tm₂S₃—have a monoclinic structure. Sesquisulfides from La through Tb can have a defect Th₃P₄-type structure which actually exists over a range of compositions. In addition, Flahaut⁴ stated, mainly on the basis of powder patterns, that there are two unknown structures which are referred to as α and β types. A comparison of the powder pattern of Nd₂S₃ reported by Picon, *et al.*,⁶ with a powder pattern of our Gd₂S₃ crystals indicated that our crystals are of the general α -sesquisulfide structure type. Since this structure has been found for sesquisulfides of La through Dy⁷ and also for Pu₂S₃⁸ and since suitable single crystals were prepared for the first time, an investigation of the Gd₂S₃ structure was undertaken.

Experimental Section

Synthesis.—Crystal sponge gadolinium metal (99.9%) was obtained from the Lunex Co. Sulfur was 99.999%, and iodine was reagent grade resublimed. The three reactants were sealed

Contribution No. 1386 from the Central Research Department, Experimental Station, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, Delaware 19898

⁽¹⁾ J. P. Dismukes and J. G. White, Inorg. Chem., 3, 1220 (1964).

⁽²⁾ J. P. Dismukes and J. G. White, *ibid.*, 4, 970 (1965).

⁽³⁾ J. Flahaut, L. Pierre, M. Pardo, and M. Guittard, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 1399 (1965).

⁽⁴⁾ J. Flahaut, M. Guittard, M. Patrie, M. P. Pardo, S. M. Golabi, and L. Domange, Acta Cryst., 19, 14 (1965).

⁽⁵⁾ J. Flahaut, L. Domange, and M. Pardo, Compt. Rend., 258, 594 (1964).

⁽⁶⁾ M. Picon, L. Domange, J. Flahaut, M. Guittard, and M. Patrie, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 221 (1960).

⁽⁷⁾ A. W. Sleight, to be submitted for publication.

⁽⁸⁾ J. P. Marcon and R. Pascard, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 28, 2551 (1966).

	F.1		2			7							
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			/		1 447 473		K+LE 1+ 3	4 117 101	D 4- 42	4 424 417
x #301 #314	1 1064 1/41	9 111/ 1110	3 1146 1136	NgL= 30 1	0 112- 1130	K+L=13+ 1	3 11 17	# 117 111	5 512 514	0 817 636	< 894 578	1 1677 1695	5 128 87
a 302 300	2 793 798	K+L=10+ 0	9 303 292	0 3047 26255	7 94 14	0 44 48	- 588 587	3 2174 2212	6 389 390	1 1606 1643	A 274 251	2 177 164	KILS 6, 4
6 223 211	3 1321 1349	0 341 334	5 22 70+	1 135 118	8 604 611	1 1868 1855	5 1159 1164	4 126 115	K+L=19. 9	2 144 141	7 735 212	1 412 420	0 110 300
4 1990 3049	A 477 664	1 661 665	6 693 700	2 1044 2010	Rain B. 1	9 917 909	4 444 444						
			W.1	1 1074 1041	148 143					3 1306 1361			1 324 325
KIL= 10 0	3 750 792	£ 132£ 1317	R. C. 10, 0	3 1413 1401	1 10 175	3 //0 /0/	1045 1105	6 131 25#	1 634 634	4 481 1000	0 979 988	K+L#14+ 3	2 111 79
1 191 176	6 468 459	3 469 477	0 581 587	4 261 260	2 549 551	4 1083 1096	6 429 426	7 721 716	2 1471 1470	5 755 757	1 1000 1039	1 524 536	3 1573 1582
2 1992 1971	7 1703 1726	4 2151 2139	1 2120 2091	5 2262 2342	3 541 536	5 248 239	K.L= 2. 2	A 25P 256	3 148 139	6 868 670	9 414 AP8	2 743 770	8 89 87
3 629 627	8 849 836	5 421 417	2 475 462	6 693 691	4 144 137	6 604 569	0 223 130	K.1 . 7. 9		7 447 447	1 44 914	3 844 834	
	4 174 184		1 447 441	7 84 940	1 114 040					,		3 909 729	3 19/4 1993
	7 370 355	0 1047 1003	2 10/ 20/	203 207			1 104 104	1 1540 1544	5 250 271	K+L= 2+ 3	L 1094 1739	F,L=15, 3	K,L2 7, 4
5 1317 1340	KIL= 6/ 0	7 591 535	4 241 524	7 900 990	0 0/- 00/	1 834 855	2 1007 999	2 1037 1045	6 110 64	1 88 84	- 733 726	0 852 853	1 903 913
6 766 770	0 601 607	8 118 73	5 151 164	7 431 422	7 105P 1037	2 922 938	3 1324 1307	3 1491 15Pa	K+L=13+ 2	2 1875 1909	A 427 931	1 109 38a	2 762 761
7 1812 1227	1 588 582	K+L=11+ 0	K,L=17, 0	Kal# 44 1	8 88* 896	3 1110 1118	4 2452 247A	4 473 862	1 108 97	3 830 827		2 907 823	3 1007 1103
8 877 848	9 147 149	1 112 100	1 787 717	1 1309 1307	Fal 9 84 1								3 1087 1103
			1 110 111				5 1514 1504	3 666 660	2 1032 1046	1077 1071	1 1-2 145	K . L = 0 . 4	\$ 830 044
	2 2327 2000	2 1100 108/	2 330 333	2 004 008	0 2314 2301	5 145 182	0 1034 1088	0 04 109	3 552 514	5 AA 61	2 418 421	0 3297 3254	K,L2 6, 4
K17= 51 0	4 144 132	3 323 327	5 /15 /20	3 879 860	1 100 103	6 79 470	7 227 213	7 1557 1575	s 464 46N	6 511 487	3 415 417	2 1307 1285	0 1665 1457
0 0 8*	9 2445 2522	N 524 507	4 182 147	· 206 172	2 125A 1251	K,L215, 1	6 149 157	8 394 388	5 699 652	7 185 169	4 196 109	4 178 17B	1 1410 1400
1 138 113	6 0 264	5 561 569	K+L=18+ 0	5 1067 1078	3 1692 1712	0 1020 1032	K.L. 1. 2	N	4 506 501	FALS 3. 3	5 745 748		
3 1284 1202	7 606 781	4 417 499	0 247 177	4 1037 1045	8 81 170		1 873 876		J. 1 . 1				
								0 2010 2055		0 1072 1004	B /CZ /13	1 /4 45	3 532 530
3 1330 1363		/ 404 903	1 534 514	1044 1431	3 1400 5021	2 1121 1112	5 540 508	1 1985 1970	0 100 994	1 15 584	×,L= 9, 3	\$ 7703 1040	4 403 411
* 5803 5414	9 377 362	K,L=12, 0	2 62 57	8 766 773	6 67 14	3 437 442	3 1724 1722	2 1257 1272	1 136 126	2 1376 1425	n 1746 1759	3 367 331	K,L= 9, 4
5 1491 1728	K+L= 7+ 0	0 3177 3114	3 1167 1153	9 581 589	7 461 452	4 455 453	125 120	3 724 727	2 446 453	3 1451 1459	1 156 127	4 450 H17	1 239 234
4 1443 1843	1 1847 1874	1 401 401	Wale for 1	# . I	A 853 886	5 1200 1214	5 162 161		3 1096 1112	8 205 198	2 844 974		
1 1003 1903		1 .774 .774	1 1410 1411		X .1 - 1 0 . 1		6 907 895		1 1914 1947	6 1894 1883	1 1 1 2 4 1 1 4 4		
	2 1230 1430	E 1022 102,	1000 1000	0 2011 2007							5 1520 1530		3 7/8 784
8 158 171	3 1756 1/82	3 140 134	4 141 165	1 2488 2437	1 204 148	1 751 740		0 140 143	2 1430 1433	0 265 260	· 27 10·	0 97 120	K,L210, 4
9 192 179	N 1007 1010	N 53 71	6 1705 1742	2 1743 1752	2 2024 2031	2 436 473	0 012 023	7 618 825	K+L#15/ 2	7 480 469	7 1853 1654	1 87 68	0 203 212
K,L# 3, 0	5 752 744	5 296 300	8 1947 1996	3 70a 708	3 591 509	3 368 379	K+L= 4, 2	K.LZ 9. 2	1 992 1004	K:L= 4, 3	6 0 1*	2 691 677	1 516 510
1 1008 1068	4 994 191	4 100 70	Mai = 1 - 1	6 1302 139a	B 1068 1079	A 106 104	0 1971 1960	1 111 120	9 AZ 214	1 845 969	8.1 210. 3	1 657 658	
							1 24.22 2 104	3 1130 1141	1035 1052	2 414 410	1		
4 190 197	1 1/10 1/30			7 1037 1005		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							3 324 311
3 2017 2087	8 448 424	K/L=13/ 0	1 2410 2334	0 464 4/4	6 3/5 301	N/L=1// 1	1204 1312	3 1320 1337	4 207 200	3 634 634	\$ 1221 1244	5 1104 1105	K+L=11+ 4
9 135 163	K+L\$ 8+ 0	1 105 106	2 364 541	7 166 155	7 187 163	0 1165 1157	3 978 996	4 129 112	K. [=10, 5	9 110 132	3 461 472	K,L= 3, 4	1 70 68
5 193 184	0 2447 2437	2 1109 1170	3 1813 1820	A 899 896	¥;L=11; 1	1 1062 1074	4 343 324	5 202 198	0 529 531	5 862 853	4 855 873	1 50A 5A3	2 716 710
4 989 1010	1 2377 2318	3 253 244	4 1319 1314	K,L= 6, 1	0 1706 1710	2 1329 1317	5 292 259	6 1276 1262	i 1919 189A	6 A67 B47	5 103 103	2 151 145	K.1 =12+ B
7 344 347	2 1878 1899	8 897 509	5 969 966	1 1337 1338	1 1655 1692	3 889 695	6 232 249	7 217 93.	2 818 820	7 1581 1441	W-1 =11. 3	3 1930 1900	
	1 414 444	8 790 717	4 1076 1089	2	3 330 311		7 438 435		1 172 1.4			5 115 1200	0 1025 2000
								K/L4101 8			0 1320 1352	100 00	1 333 323
· 200 207	e ell exp	6 5/1 54/	1 410 415	3 1321 1335	3 1231 1243	NILSIDP 1		0 583 541	4 270 23H	0 1108 1722	1 1268 1299	5 127 119	K,1= 1, 5
K,L= 4, 0	5 183 176	7 807 786	5 442 446	4 126 129	4 1259 1275	1 703 717	K,L= 5, 2	1 689 696	K+L=17, 2	1 1696 1730	2 210 170	K,L= 4, 4	0 540 547
0 2024 1790	6 224 215	K,L=14, 0	4 670 683	4 712 720	5 687 910	2 325 330	1 1412 1420	2 1194 1149	1 639 654	2 1242 1285	3 984 1001	0 997 981	1 1083 1077
1 3169 3878E	7 926 907	0 216 680	K+L= 2+ 1	6 331 306	6 904 414	3 1170 1175	2 651 655	3 616 610	3 335 303	3 824 828	a 1037 1041	1 1466 1421	
. 1494 1445	8 904 919	1 112 118	1 87 404	7 241 251	7 717 706	HALT 04 9	1 11 14 1144						
1 1144 1944			3 2673 3768	7 1 1 1	W.1 . 12. 1	A 8137 LOOSE						2 708 700	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5 1100 1204	K . L	5 270 204				0 9137 9995	- per 575	3 390 373	K+F=14+ S	7 1174 1149	F+L=12+ 3	3 714 701	K+L= 3+ 5
• 337 385	1 446 380	3 1223 1230	3 1524 1560	R#L= 7#_1	1 302 302	2 1892 1907	5 164 118	6 1678 1690	0 183 160	B H11 795	1 237 243	* 202 235	0 1223 1231
5 301 245	2 1307 1321	4 2132 2143	N 1360 1414	0 1359 1349	2 1264 1307	* 267 247	6 433 408	7 309 305	1 487 474	7 125 129	2 1019 1055	5 222 193	1 100 39
6 283 279	3 1525 1531	5 1542 1570	5 90 77	1 1955 1991	3 907 925	6 203 189	7 1560 1560	K+L=11+ 2	K+L= 0, 3	K.L. 6. 3	3 734 755	KILS S. B.	
7 491 493	8 187 127	A 975 991	6 629 602	2 1090 1095	& 97 A9	8 1823 18AN	8 751 760	1 100 80	9 1154 1162	1 955 975	A 109 73	1 044 944	
			7 213 205						111 141	3 134 134			
e war 301	J #30 221	R/L=15/ 0		02 31	5 510 501	NALS 14 2		2 990 996	4 134 123	2 223 334	33 416	2.424 897	
7 1184 1194	6 1399 1396	1 1096 1111	a 202 195	4 Z149 2208	6 1962 19A5	1 131 142	0 434 445	3 298 288	6 1378 1397	3 1005 1019	K.L.#13, 3	3 814 807	

TABLE I Observed and Calculated Structure Factors^a

^a For each set of fixed k and l, the columns are h, $10F_0$, and $10F_0$. Unobserved reflections and those for which the secondary extinction correction was greater than 25% are marked by an asterisk and E, respectively.

in an evacuated silica ampoule in an atomic ratio of 1:1:1. The ampoule was heated very slowly to avoid a violent reaction which can cause considerable container attack as well as frequent failure. After the reaction was complete, possibly forming GdSI, the temperature was raised to 1200° for about 20 hr.

After the ampoule was cooled to room temperature and opened, red needle-like crystals of Gd_2S_3 were found along with GdI_3 . The GdI_3 was washed away with water. Although the odor of H_2S was present, the crystals of Gd_2S_3 were relatively unaffected by the washing. This method of crystal preparation has been found generally applicable for rare earth sesquisulfides.⁷ Anal. Calcd for Gd_2S_3 : Gd, 76.6; S, 23.4. Found: Gd, 76.4; S, 22.2. Iodine was undetectable by X-ray fluorescence.

X-Ray Data.—For Gd₂S₀ the formula weight is 410.68 and $a = 7.339 \pm 7$ Å, $b = 15.273 \pm 2$ Å, and $c = 3.9318 \pm 4$ Å. The least-squares refinement of deWolff-Guinier photograph data was done at 25°. High-purity KCl (a = 6.29310 Å), obtained from Johnson, Matthey & Co., was used as an internal standard. For the crystal we have: $V = 440.70 \pm 5$ Å³; $D_{\rm m} = 6.14 \pm 5$ g cm⁻³ (displacement method); Z = 4; $D_{\rm x} = 6.187$ g cm⁻³; $\mu = 167$ cm⁻¹ (Ag K α). Approximate crystal dimensions are: $0.0034 \times 0.0067 \times 0.04$ cm, with the longest dimension parallel to c. The space group is Pnam- D_{2h} ¹⁶ or Pna2₁-C_{2v}⁹ (absences, from precession photographs: $0kl, k + l \neq 2n$; h0l, h = 2n). The space group Pnam was confirmed by the absence of piezoelectric effect, by examination of Patterson maps, and by analysis of refinement results.

Structure Solution and Refinement

Three-dimensional diffraction intensities were measured with a Picker automatic diffractometer using Pd-filtered Ag K α radiation at a take-off angle of 3° and a scintillation detector. Reflections were scanned for 1.5° plus the $\alpha_1-\alpha_2$ dispersion, and 40-sec backgrounds were measured at the extremes of each scan. The half-maximum width of the 020 reflection $(2\theta = 4.21^\circ)$ was 0.28°, thus indicating that the mosaicity of the crystal is relatively small. In making background corrections, a linear background dependence between each extreme was assumed. Prismatic crystal absorption corrections resulting in transmission factors varying from 0.41 to 0.67 were computed using the method of Wuensch and Prewitt.⁹ In all, 567 reflections in one octant of reciprocal space were recorded. Several medium to strong sets of symmetry-equivalent reflections were checked to see that they were equivalent in intensity; however, no statistical studies were made of these sets. The maximum 2θ was 43° .

From three-dimensional Pattersons maps, the locations of two nonequivalent gadolinium atoms were determined, assuming space group Pnam. A subsequent electron density map computed with signs determined by Gd(1) and Gd(2) was sufficient to locate S(1), S(2), and S(3). All atoms lie on mirror planes in equipoint 4c $(x, y, 1/4; \bar{x}, \bar{y}, 3/4; 1/2 - x, 1/2 + y, 3/4; 1/2 + x, 1/2 - y, 1/4)$. A few cycles of refinement with a full-matrix, least-squares program SFLS5¹⁰ in which $\Sigma w ||F_0| - |F_0||^2$ was minimized, reduced $R (R = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_0| / \Sigma |F_0|)$ to 0.06. σ terms for each reflection were computed using

$$\sigma_{F_0} = (L \not p T)^{-1/2} [(I + \sigma_I)^{1/2} - I^{1/2}]$$

where L, p, and T are the Lorentz, polarization, and transmission factors, respectively, I is the integrated intensity, and

$$\sigma_I = \left[E + \left(\frac{T_E}{2T_B}\right)^2 (B_1 + B_2) + \epsilon^2 I^2\right]^{1/2}$$

Here E is the total count plus background, T_E and T_B are peak and background times, B_1 and B_2 are background counts, and ϵ , set in this case to 0.03, accounts for fluctuations in the direct beam and other uncertainties.

Further refinement in which the anisotropic temperature factors and the secondary-extinction parameter $C^{11,12}$ [$C = 0.219(8) \times 10^{-5}$] were varied

⁽⁹⁾ B. J. Wuensch and C. T. Prewitt, Z. Krist., 122, 24 (1965).

⁽¹⁰⁾ C. T. Prewitt, unpublished computer program.

⁽¹¹⁾ W. H. Zachariasen, Acta Cryst., 16, 1139 (1963).

⁽¹²⁾ C. T. Prewitt and R. D. Shannon, *ibid.*, in press.

FINAL ATOM PARAMETERS ^a									
	x	У	z	β_{11}	β_{22}	β_{33}	β_{12}		
Gd(1)	14237 ± 5	20418 ± 2	1/4	00238 ± 9	00065 ± 2	0072 ± 3	-00018 ± 2		
$\operatorname{Gd}(2)$	23363 ± 5	45671 ± 2	3/4	00245 ± 9	00058 ± 2	0072 ± 3	-00001 ± 2		
S(1)	0086 ± 2	3914 ± 1	1/4	0026 ± 3	00078 ± 7	0081 ± 11	-0001 ± 1		
S(2)	1253 ± 2	0670 ± 1	3/4	0023 ± 3	00054 ± 6	0074 ± 10	0001 ± 1		
S(3)	3507 ± 2	2814 ± 1	3/4	0024 ± 3	00053 ± 6	0086 ± 11	0000 ± 1		

TABLE II

^a Points at the left of each decimal entry are omitted.

reduced R to 0.019 and wtdR to 0.027 for 540 reflections included in the refinement. Excluded were 3 strong reflections for which the extinction correction was greater than 25% and 24 unobserved reflections. If the 3 strong reflections are included, R increases to 0.020. The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight was 1.50. Table I gives the final observed and calculated structure factors. Form factors for neutral Gd and S were taken from Cromer and Waber.13 Real and imaginary anomalous dispersion factors for Ag K α radiation from Cromer¹⁴ were applied in the usual way.

Atomic coordinates and anisotropic temperature factors are listed in Table II. Interatomic distances and angles plus the magnitudes and orientations of the thermal ellipsoid were calculated15 and are given in Figures 1 and 2 and Table III.

TABLE 1	III
---------	-----

MAGNITUDES AND ORIENTATIONS OF THERMAL ELLIPSOIDS

		Rms		-Angles (deg	g) of r_i with re	spect to
		amplitude, Å	B^a	а	b	С
Gd(1)	r_1	0.075 ± 2	0.45	90	90	0
	r_2	0.077 ± 2	0.47	150 ± 3	121 ± 3	90
	r_3	0.091 ± 2	0.65	121 ± 3	31 ± 3	90
Gd(2)	r_1	0.075 ± 2	0.45	90	90	0
	γ_2	0.082 ± 1	0.53	165 ± 44	105 ± 44	90
	r_3	0.083 ± 1	0.54	105 ± 44	15 ± 44	90
S (1)	r_1	0.080 ± 5	0.50	90	90	0
	r_2	0.083 ± 5	0.54	169 ± 14	101 ± 14	90
	r_3	0.097 ± 4	0.74	101 ± 14	11 ± 14	90
S(2)	r_1	0.074 ± 5	0.43	138 ± 19	48 ± 19	90
	r_2	0.076 ± 5	0.46	90	90	180
	r_3	0.085 ± 5	0.56	48 ± 19	42 ± 19	90
S(3)	r_1	0.079 ± 5	0.49	100 ± 82	10 ± 82	90
	r_2	0.082 ± 5	0.53	170 ± 82	100 ± 82	90
	r 3	0.082 ± 5	0.53	90	90	0
$a B_i =$	$8\pi^2\gamma_s$.2				

Discussion

Figures 1 and 3 are diagrams of the Gd₂S₃ structure. Figure 1 shows the bonds and atom positions, and Figure 3 is a stereographic view. The structure is composed of GdS7 and GdS8 polyhedra which are linked in a complex way to form a three-dimensional structure. The coordination of Gd(1) is by eight sulfur atoms in a bicapped trigonal prism at average distances of 2.90 Å, and Gd(2) is coordinated by seven sulfur atoms in a distorted monocapped trigonal prism at average distances of 2.82 Å. A capped trigonal prism arrangement seems to be a common coordination

(14) D. T. Cromer, ibid., 18, 17 (1965). (15) W. R. Busing, K. O. Martin, and H. A. Levy, ORNL-TN-305, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn., 1962.



Figure 1.—Model of the Gd_2S_3 structure.



Figure 2.-Coordination polyhedra around (a) Gd(1), (b) Gd(2), (c) S(1), (d) S(2) and S(3). The lengths of the polyhedral edges are the S-S distances in (a) and (b) and the Gd-Gd distances in (c) and (d). In (d), the values corresponding to S(2) are given first. The vertical edges of the polyhedra are all equal to c, i.e., 3.932 Å.



Figure 3.—Stereographic view of the Gd₂S₃ structure.

for rare earths and actinides. In Sm₂O₃ (B rare earth sesquioxide structure) there are monocapped trigonal prisms.¹⁶ Many rare earth and actinide halides have the PuBr₃ structure,¹⁷ which has bicapped trigonal prisms. The rare earth coordination in LaTe₂ and

⁽¹³⁾ D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, Acta Cryst., 18, 104 (1965).

⁽¹⁶⁾ D. T. Cromer, J. Phys. Chem., 61, 753 (1957).

⁽¹⁷⁾ W. H. Zachariasen, Acta Cryst., 1, 265 (1948).

 $NdTe_{2^{18}}$ may be described as a tricapped trigonal prism.

The sulfur atoms in Gd_2S_3 are all coordinated by five gadolinium atoms. A trigonal-bipyramidal arrangement is found for S(1) with average S–Gd distances of 2.90 Å, and S(2) and S(3) are in square pyramids with average S–Gd distances of 2.85 and 2.83 Å, respectively. Figure 2 shows the coordination polyhedra associated with each atom. The S–S distances are all greater than 3.40 Å except for one of 3.04 Å between S(2) and S(3) centered around the point 0.37, 0.48, $^3/_4$ in Figure 1. This short S(2)–S(3) distance represents a polyhedral edge shared between two Gd(1) polyhedra and one Gd(2) polyhedron and is the only edge shared by more than two of the gadolinium polyhedra. There are, however, no unusually short Gd–Gd distances in the

(18) R. Wang, H. Steinfink, and W. F. Bradley, Inorg. Chem., 5, 142 (1966).

structure, the shortest being one of 3.888 Å between Gd(1) and Gd(2). This is consistent with the magnetic data⁷ which indicate very weak interactions between gadolinium atoms. For Gd₂S₃ there are no magnetic transitions down to 4.2°K, the Curie–Weiss constant (θ) is -8° K, and the observed moment of 7.94 \pm 0.01 BM is in excellent agreement with the calculated value¹⁹ of 7.94 BM.

Recently, a structure for monoclinic Ho_2S_3 has been reported²⁰ which contains half of the Ho in octahedral and half in sevenfold coordination. Thus the coordination of the rare earth is showing a general tendency to increase as the size of the rare earth increases, going from six in the corundum type, through mixed six and seven in the monoclinic type, to mixed seven and eight in the orthorhombic type.

(19) J. H. Van Vleck, "The Theory of Electric and Magnetic Susceptibilities," Oxford University Press, London, 1944.
(20) J. G. White, P. N. Yocom, and S. Lerner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 6, 1872 (1967).

Contribution No. 1411 from the Central Research Department, Experimental Station, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, Delaware 19898

Magnetic, Mössbauer, and Structural Studies on Three Modifications of $FeMoO_4$

BY A. W. SLEIGHT, B. L. CHAMBERLAND, AND J. F. WEIHER

Received January 4, 1968

Three modifications of FeMoO₄ have been characterized by magnetic susceptibility, Mössbauer effect, and X-ray studies. The low-temperature, low-pressure form, α -FeMoO₄, is isostructural with low-temperature NiMoO₄ and CoMoO₄. The high-temperature, low-pressure form, β -FeMoO₄, is isostructural with MnMoO₄ and MgMoO₄ and exists metastably at room temperature when quenched from above 600°. The high-pressure form, FeMoO₄-II, represents a triclinic distortion of the monoclinic NiWO₄-type structure. Magnetic and Mössbauer results indicate high-spin divalent iron to be present in all three modifications. Antiferromagnetic interactions in FeMoO₄-II lead to magnetic order below 45°K.

Introduction

At least two forms of FeMoO₄ are known to exist. A low-pressure modification, α -FeMoO₄, was first prepared by Schultze¹ as monoclinic prisms from a fusedsalt reaction. This product has also been reported by others,²⁻⁶ and the X-ray diffraction powder pattern has been presented.^{2,6,7} Its structure has generally been believed to be different from those of the normal (STP) forms of all other A²⁺Mo⁶⁺O₄-type molybdates (where A may be Mg, Mn, Co, Ni, Zn, and Cu).⁸⁻¹⁰ Abrahams¹¹ states that normal (STP) FeMoO₄ is

(1) H. Schultze, Ann., 126, 49 (1863).

(2) Yu. D. Kozmanov, Zh. Fiz. Khim., 31, 1861 (1957).

(3) A. N. Zelikman and L. V. Belyaevskaya, Zh. Prikl. Khim., 27, 1151 (1954); 29, 11 (1956).

(4) A. N. Zelikman, Zh. Neorgan. Khim., 1, 2778 (1956).
(5) Yu. D. Kozmanov and T. A. Ugol'nikova, *ibid.*, 3, 1267 (1958).

(6) W. Jäger, A. Rahmel, and K. Becker, Arch. Eisenhuttenw., 30, 435 (1959).

- (7) F. Corbet, R. Stefani, J. C. Merlin, and C. Eyrand, Compt. Rend., 246, 1896 (1958).
- (8) G. W. Smith and J. A. Ibers, Acta Cryst., 19, 269 (1965).
- (9) G. W. Smith, ibid., 15, 1054 (1962).
- (10) S. C. Abrahams and J. M. Reddy, J. Chem. Phys., 43, 2533 (1965).

(11) S. C. Abrahams, ibid., 46, 2052 (1967).

probably triclinic. Young and Schwartz¹² have reported a high-pressure modification, $FeMoO_4$ -II, which they found to be structurally related to NiWO₄.

The purpose of this investigation was to identify the various modifications of FeMoO₄ and to compare their properties. It was of particular interest to determine if the reportedly unique structure for α -FeMoO₄ might be due to an unusual valence combination, *i.e.*, Fe³⁺⁻Mo⁵⁺O₄, instead of Fe²⁺Mo⁶⁺O₄.

Experimental Section

All forms of FeMoO₄ could be prepared from an appropriate mixture of Fe₂O₈, MoO₃, and Mo or Fe₂O₈, MoO₃, and Fe. The reactants, obtained from Johnson, Matthey & Co., were all at least 99.99% pure. Both low-pressure forms of FeMoO₄ were prepared by heating the intimately mixed reactants in evacuated platinum or silica tubes at 900–1000° for 1–2 days. Depending on the cooling rate, the product was α -FeMoO₄, β -FeMoO₄, or a mixture of these two forms. α -FeMoO₄ was also prepared by mixing stoichiometrically solutions of FeCl₂ and Na₂MoO₄ at about 100° and then drying the precipitate at 400° under vacuum.

⁽¹²⁾ A. P. Young and C. M. Schwartz, Science, 141, 348 (1963).